

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,931	02/12/2002	Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2433	3751
31780 7	590 02/19/2003			
ERIC ROBINSON			EXAMINER	
PMB 955 21010 SOUTH			ISAAC, STANETTA D	
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER.
			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
<b>,</b>	•	10/072,931	YAMAZAKI ET AL.		
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	·	Stanetta D. Isaac	2812		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
Period fo			·		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)□		—· is action is non-final.			
3)□	, <del>_</del>		osecution as to the morite is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-77</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
	Claim(s) <u>1-77</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)∏ T					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/072,931

Art Unit: 2812

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Independent claim 1 (Group I) consisting of dependent claims 2-9,12,14,16,18,20,22,24,26, 28, 30, 40, 42, and 44,

Independent claim 10 (Group II) consisting of dependent claims 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 41, 43, and 45,

Independent claim 46 (Group III) consisting of dependent claims 50, 52, 54, 58, and 62, Independent claim 47 (Group IV) consisting of dependent claims 51, 53, 55, 59, and 63, Independent claim 48 (Group V) consisting of dependent claims 56, 60, and 64, Independent claim 49 (Group VI) consisting of dependent claims 57, 61, and 65, Independent claim 66 (Group VII) consisting of dependent claims 68, 70, 72, 74, and 76, Independent claim 67 (Group VIII) consisting of dependent claims 69, 71, 73, 75, and 77.

- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Group VI is generic.
- 3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 2812

- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stanetta D. Isaac whose telephone number is 703-308-5871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am -5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,931

Art Unit: 2812

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Nebling can be reached on 703-308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular

communications and 703-308-3432 for After Final communications.

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Stanetta Isaac Patent Examiner February 13, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Technology Center 2800